

REMARKS

The examiner rejected claims 6-9 pursuant to section 112 for indefiniteness. The examiner also stated that 'claims 6-9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 USC section 112, 2nd paragraph set forth in this Office Action.' Applicants have amended these claims to address the examiners' 112 rejections.

The examiner also objected to claims 6-9 due to informalities. At the examiner's suggestion, the phrase "crank's axle" has been amended by Applicants to "crank axle" to overcome this objection.

The examiner also objected to the Drawings for containing numeral "6a" which does not appear in the Description. Applicants have also amended the Description at page 5 to add the reference character "6a" which appears in the Drawings as referring to the chain.

Applicants have also made clarifying amendments to claim 7, for example removing duplicative phrases like "same equal" at the end of the claim and instead using the word "same" alone. Similarly, "crank being connected to the crank axle" has been made more clear by reciting "crank, which is connected to the crank axle, ...".

It is respectfully submitted that claims 6-9 are now in condition for allowance. It is hereby requested that the above amendment be entered and that these claims be allowed.

Dated: New York, NY
June 23, 2009

Respectfully submitted,



STEVEN HOROWITZ, ESQ.

Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 31,768
295 Madison Avenue, Suite 700
New York, NY 10017
(212) 867-6800
(212) 685-6862 fax
sh@patentny.com